

**DO REASONS BEHIND PROGRAM EVALUATION MATTER?**  
**How Different Evaluation Priorities Construct Boundaries for Evaluation Questions**

**Select References**

- Alkin MC, Taut SM. Unbundling evaluation use. *Stud Educ Eval*. 2003;29:1-12.
- Alkin MC, Daillak R, White P. *Using Evaluations: Does Evaluation Make a Difference*. Beverly Hills, CA: SAGE; 1979.
- Patton MQ, Grimes PS, Guthrie KM, Brennan NJ, French BD, Blyth DA. In search of impact: An analysis of the utilization of federal health evaluation research. In: Weiss CH, ed. *Using Social Research in Public Policy Making*. Lexington, MA: Heath; 1977.
- Patton MQ. *Utilization-Focused Evaluation: The New Century Text*. 3rd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA, London, & New Dehli: SAGE; 1997.
- Brandon PR, Fukunaga LL. The State of the Empirical Research Literature on Stakeholder Involvement in Program Evaluation. *Am J Eval*. 2014;35:26-44. doi:10.1177/1098214013503699
- Dahler-larsen P. Surviving the routinization of evaluation: The Administrative Use of Evaluations in Danish Municipalities. 2000;32(1):70-92.
- Stame N. Theory-Based Evaluation and Types of Complexity. *Evaluation*. 2004;10(1):58-76. doi:10.1177/1356389004043135
- Jabeen S. Do we really care about unintended outcomes? An analysis of evaluation theory and practice. *Eval Program Plann*. 2016;55:144-154. doi:10.1016/j.evalprogplan.2015.12.010
- Weiss CH. Have we learned anything new about the use of evaluation. *Am J Eval*. 1998;19(1):21-33.
- Donaldson SI, Patton MQ, Fetterman DM, Scriven M. The 2009 Claremont Debates: The Promise and Pitfalls of Utilization- Focused and Empowerment Evaluation. *J Multidiscip Eval*. 2010;6(13):15-57.
- Scriven M. Prose and cons about goal-free evaluation. *J Educ Eval*. 1972;3(4):1-7.
- Ledermann S. Exploring the Necessary Conditions for Evaluation Use in Program Change. *Am J Eval*. 2012;33(2):159-178. doi:10.1177/1098214011411573
- Basch CE, Sliepcevich EM, Gold RS, Duncan DF, Kolbe LJ. Avoiding Type III Errors in Health Education Program Evaluations: A Case Study. *Heal Educ Behav*. 1985;12(3):315-331. doi:10.1177/109019818501200311
- Cianciolo A, Regehr G. Learning theory and educational intervention: Producing meaningful evidence of impact through layered analysis. *Acad Med*. 2019;(Early online). doi:10.1097/ACM.0000000000002591
- Horsley T, Regehr G. When are two interventions the same? Implications for reporting guidelines in education. *Med Educ*. 2018;52(2):141-143. doi:10.1111/medu.13496
- Varpio L, Bell R, Hollingworth G, et al. Is transferring an educational innovation actually a process of transformation ? 2012:357-367. doi:10.1007/s10459-011-9313-4
- Patton MQ. Discovering process use. *Evaluation*. 1998;4(2):225-233.

## **DO REASONS BEHIND PROGRAM EVALUATION MATTER?**

### **How Different Evaluation Priorities Construct Boundaries for Evaluation Questions**

Fetterman DM, Deitz J, Gesundheit N. Empowerment evaluation: A collaborative approach to evaluating and transforming a medical school curriculum. *Acad Med*. 2010;85(5):813-820. doi:10.1097/ACM.0b013e3181d74269

Alkin MC, King JA. Definitions of Evaluation Use and Misuse, Evaluation Influence, and Factors Affecting Use. *Am J Eval*. 2017;38(3):434-450. doi:10.1177/1098214017717015

Leyerzapf H, Abma T. Cultural minority students' experiences with intercultural competency in medical education. *Med Educ*. 2017;51(5):521-530. doi:10.1111/medu.13302

Pleger L, Sager F, Morris M, Meyer W, Stockmann R. Are Some Countries More Prone to Pressure Evaluators Than Others? Comparing Findings From the United States, United Kingdom, Germany, and Switzerland. *Am J Eval*. 2017;38(3):315-328. doi:10.1177/1098214016662907

Pleger L, Hadorn S. The big bad wolf's view: The evaluation clients' perspectives on independence of evaluations. *Evaluation*. 2018;24(4):456-474. doi:10.1177/1356389018796004